IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

HANNAH AHREN,

Case No. 3:21-cv-561-YY

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

ERIK KAMMERER and CITY OF PORTLAND,

Defendants.

Michael H. Simon, District Judge.

United States Magistrate Judge Youlee Yim You issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on September 3, 3024. Judge You recommended that the Court grant the City of Portland's ("City") motion for partial summary judgment. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act (Act), the court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party objects to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, "the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. See Thomas v.

Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act],

intended to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report to which no objections are

filed."); United States. v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (holding

that the court must review de novo magistrate judge's findings and recommendations if objection

is made, "but not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude

further review by the district judge[] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard."

Thomas, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 72(b) of the Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review

the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made objections, the Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory

Committee and reviews Judge You's Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the face

of the record. No such error is apparent.

The Court ADOPTS Judge You's Findings and Recommendation, ECF 97. The Court

GRANTS the City of Portland's motion for partial summary judgment (ECF 59). Summary

judgment is granted on two of Plaintiff's claims: (1) a Fourth Amendment Monell claim against

the City, and (2) a First Amendment *Monell* claim against the City.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 1st day of October, 2024.

/s/ Michael H. Simon

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge

PAGE 2 – OPINION AND ORDER